Some people believe the media should be allowed to publish private information about people, while others are against it. Discuss both views and give your own opinion and relevant examples.

Media has brought significant changes to the flow of information in recent past. Yet, there remains some disagreement as to the-access of unauthorized persons to secret information of people. While there are certainly valid arguments to the contrary, I intended to argue that publishing personal life data is detrimental both to the person whose personal issues*statistics have been leaked and to society at large. There are two primary reasons for this.

First of all, it is an indisputable fact that free flow of information is fundamental <u>concept</u> of liberty. However, the private details of celebrities and politician <u>until as long as not</u> related to breaking the law shall be confidential. All men possess the right of having privacy and by leakage of details of personal life of famous or ordinary people this right will be violated. However, we always <u>seen</u> that paparazzi publish gossips and nobody could prohibit it legally.

Further and more importantly, though, some media deliberately attempt to attract public attention to shape their habits and control their will. On particular good example of this is Kim Kardashian. By broadcasting some of embarrassing private information of her, the vogue industry has brought some changes to her fans interest. Some think that by providing data about personal facts, it could help society transparency. By contrast, I believe that for reaching this aim, all people should have access to society political and the economy economic information and private data of people shall be protected by the government.

By way of conclusion, I once again reaffirm my position that personal data shall be protected by the government and also we should be aware about/of the effects of spreading gossip or even valid information about people's secret.